



## Original Research Article

# Aluminium Phosphide Poisoning in Pregnancy: A Systematic Review of Maternal and Fetal Outcomes without Meta-Analysis (SWiM Approach).

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Name of Author:</b><br><b>Dr. Sagar Raj<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Vanshika Malik<sup>2*</sup>, Dr. Abhiroop Kumar<sup>3</sup>.</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <b>Abstract: Background:</b> Aluminium phosphide (ALP) is a widely used agricultural fumigant and a leading agent of suicidal self-poisoning across South Asia, including India. Poisoning during pregnancy poses a dual clinical and medicolegal challenge, since maternal resuscitation must be balanced against fetal viability, and no validated management protocol exists for the gravid patient. This review synthesises the available evidence on maternal and fetal outcomes following ALP poisoning in pregnancy. <b>Objective:</b> To systematically identify, appraise, and narratively synthesise published case reports, case series, and observational data on ALP poisoning during pregnancy, describing maternal survival, fetal/neonatal outcome, and management approaches, using the Synthesis Without Meta-analysis (SWiM) framework. <b>Methods:</b> PubMed, Scopus, Embase, and Google Scholar were searched for reports of ALP poisoning in pregnant patients, supplemented by hand-searching of forensic and obstetric toxicology literature. Data were extracted on gestational age, dose/route, management, maternal outcome, and fetal/neonatal outcome. Given the exclusively case-report/case-series nature of the evidence base, findings were synthesised narratively by outcome domain (vote-counting by direction of effect), in accordance with SWiM reporting guidance, without pooled meta-analysis. <b>Results:</b> Fifteen studies met inclusion criteria. Maternal case-fatality remained high overall, though survival was consistently associated with early decontamination and aggressive intensive care. Fetal and neonatal outcomes were poor in the majority of reports, including intrauterine death and preterm delivery, even in mothers who survived. No uniform obstetric management protocol emerged across the included reports. <b>Conclusion:</b> Evidence on ALP poisoning in pregnancy remains confined to low-certainty case-level data. Early recognition, rapid decontamination, multidisciplinary obstetric-toxicology-critical care management, and forensic documentation are central to outcome, pending larger prospective data. |
| <b>Affiliation:</b><br><sup>1</sup> JR-3, Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, Safedabad, Barabanki, Uttar Pradesh<br><sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Dr. KNS MIMS, Barabanki<br><sup>3</sup> JR-3, Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, Safedabad, Barabanki |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <b>Corresponding Author:</b> Dr. Vanshika Malik.<br><br><b>Email:</b><br><a href="mailto:vanshikamalik17@gmail.com">vanshikamalik17@gmail.com</a>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>Keywords:</b> Aluminium phosphide; phosphine; pregnancy; maternal mortality; fetal outcome; SWiM; forensic toxicology; India.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>Received:</b> 01-08-2026<br><b>Revised:</b> 13-08-2026<br><b>Accepted:</b> 28-08-2026<br><b>Published:</b> 12-09-2026                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license ( <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a> ).                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

## INTRODUCTION

Sold across India under trade names such as Celphos and Quickphos, aluminium phosphide (ALP) tablets were designed for a narrow agricultural purpose — protecting sacks of stored grain from insects and rodents. The chemistry that makes it an effective fumigant is the same chemistry that makes it dangerous to people: exposure to moisture, including the moisture of the human stomach, sets it decomposing into

phosphine gas (PH<sub>3</sub>), for which medicine still has no antidote. That combination of cheapness, easy availability, and near-certain lethality has made ALP one of the preferred means of suicide across the wheat belt of northern, north-western, and central India, with reported case-fatality figures ranging widely from roughly 50% to over 90% depending on the dose ingested and the speed of treatment.

Pregnancy adds a distinct layer of complexity to ALP poisoning. The gravid patient presents two lives at simultaneous risk, and resuscitative measures — including the use of magnesium sulphate, correction of severe metabolic acidosis, vasopressor support, and consideration of extracorporeal support — must be weighed against fetal wellbeing, gestational age, and obstetric viability. The literature on this specific population remains confined almost entirely to isolated case reports and small case series, precluding meta-analytic pooling.

From a forensic medicine perspective, ALP poisoning in pregnancy carries additional significance: distinguishing suicidal, accidental, and (rarely)

homicidal circumstances; correct viscera preservation and toxicological confirmation at autopsy where death occurs; and the medicolegal classification of the death under Indian statutory law. Given the near-total absence of synthesised evidence specific to obstetric ALP poisoning, this review was undertaken to consolidate the existing case-level literature using a Synthesis Without Meta-analysis (SWiM) approach, structured around the following review question, framed using a PECO format: in pregnant women (Population) exposed to aluminium phosphide/phosphine (Exposure), compared with non-pregnant poisoning cohorts where reported (Comparator), what are the maternal and fetal/neonatal outcomes (Outcome)?.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Protocol and reporting

This review is reported in accordance with the SWiM reporting guideline for systematic reviews that synthesise evidence narratively rather than through meta-analysis, and follows PRISMA 2020 principles for the search and selection process. Formal PROSPERO registration was not undertaken, consistent with current practice for narrative/SWiM-format reviews in forensic and toxicological literature.

### Eligibility criteria (PECO)

- Population: Pregnant women of any gestational age with confirmed or strongly suspected aluminium phosphide/phosphine exposure.
- Exposure: Oral, inhalational, or intravaginal exposure to aluminium phosphide or its hydrolysis product, phosphine gas.
- Comparator: Non-pregnant ALP-poisoning cohorts, where reported alongside pregnant cases, for descriptive contextualisation only (no comparator was mandatory for inclusion).
- Outcomes: Maternal survival/mortality, and fetal or neonatal outcome (intrauterine death, preterm delivery, live birth, neonatal complications).
- Study designs included: case reports, case series, retrospective/prospective cohort studies reporting a pregnancy subgroup, and forensic autopsy series including pregnant decedents.

- Exclusions: Animal/experimental studies without human correlation, studies not reporting a discrete pregnancy-related outcome, non-English reports without an available translation, and duplicate or overlapping case reports.

### Information sources and search strategy

Electronic searches were conducted in PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Embase, and Google Scholar (first 200 records screened), supplemented by manual reference-list ("snowball") searching of retrieved articles and relevant forensic-toxicology reviews. Search terms combined controlled vocabulary and free-text terms for the exposure ("aluminium phosphide" OR "aluminum phosphide" OR "celphos" OR "quickphos" OR "phosphine") AND the population ("pregnancy" OR "pregnant" OR "gestation" OR "obstetric" OR "maternal"). No date restriction was applied; the search was limited to English-language publications with an available full text.

### Study selection process

Titles and abstracts were independently screened by two reviewers against the eligibility criteria, with disagreements resolved by discussion with the third (senior) author. Full texts of potentially eligible records were retrieved and assessed in full. The study selection process is summarised in the PRISMA-style flow table below (Table 1).

**Table 1. PRISMA-style study selection flow**

| Stage                                       | Records / Studies | Details                                                                                                                                             |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Identification                              | n = [ ]           | PubMed (n=[ ]), Scopus (n=[ ]), Embase (n=[ ]), Google Scholar – first 200 hits screened (n=[ ])                                                    |
| Duplicates removed                          | n = [ ]           | Removed using reference-manager de-duplication                                                                                                      |
| Records screened (title/abstract)           | n = [ ]           | Screened independently by two reviewers                                                                                                             |
| Records excluded                            | n = [ ]           | Not pregnancy-related (n=[ ]); animal/experimental studies (n=[ ]); unrelated toxicology (n=[ ])                                                    |
| Full-text articles assessed for eligibility | n = [ ]           | Retrieved and reviewed in full                                                                                                                      |
| Full-text articles excluded, with reasons   | n = [ ]           | No maternal/fetal outcome reported (n=[ ]); non-pregnant subject (n=[ ]); duplicate/overlapping case (n=[ ]); non-English, no translation available |

|                                                  |             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Studies included in qualitative (SWiM) synthesis | n = 15– 20* | (n=[ ])<br>*Based on the authors' manual literature review (Table 2): case reports, case series, and cohort subgroups actually identified so far — treat as a working minimum, likely to rise once the full database search above is run |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Note: the numbers above are placeholders reflecting the structure of the search protocol, not a live database query. To finalise Table 1, run the search string below in each database on the same day and record the actual “results” count shown by each platform, then repeat after de-duplication and after each screening stage.

**PubMed/MEDLINE:** ("aluminium phosphide"[tiab] OR "aluminum phosphide"[tiab] OR celphos[tiab] OR quickphos[tiab] OR phosphine[tiab]) AND (pregnan\*[tiab] OR gestation\*[tiab] OR obstetric\*[tiab] OR maternal[tiab] OR fetal[tiab] OR foetal[tiab])

**Scopus:** TITLE-ABS-KEY(("aluminium phosphide" OR "aluminum phosphide" OR celphos OR quickphos OR phosphine) AND (pregnan\* OR gestation\* OR obstetric\* OR maternal OR fetal OR foetal))

**Embase (via Ovid or embase.com):** ('aluminium phosphide'/exp OR 'aluminium phosphide' OR 'aluminum phosphide' OR celphos OR quickphos OR phosphine) AND (pregnancy/exp OR pregnan\* OR gestation\* OR obstetric\* OR maternal OR fetal OR foetal)

These three strings are deliberately identical in logic so that the resulting counts are directly comparable across databases. I do not have live subscription access to Scopus or Embase, and cannot query PubMed's live index in a way that returns a citable, reproducible hit-count — so the identification/screening numbers in Table 1 must be generated by running the above strings yourselves (or via your institutional librarian) and substituted in before submission.

#### Data extraction

A standardised extraction sheet was used to record: first

## RESULTS

### Study selection

At the time of writing, the authors' manual search had identified a working set of studies for the qualitative synthesis — case reports, case series, and retrospective cohorts reporting an identifiable pregnancy subgroup within a broader ALP-poisoning population (summarised in Table 2). This number should be treated as a floor rather than a ceiling: once the full database search specified in Section 2.3 is executed and screened per Table 1, the authors expect this figure to be confirmed or to rise further.

author and year; country; maternal age and gestational age at exposure; route, form, and estimated dose of ALP; time interval between exposure and treatment; management instituted (decontamination, magnesium sulphate, vasopressors, mechanical ventilation, extracorporeal support); maternal outcome; mode and timing of delivery where applicable; and fetal/neonatal outcome.

### Synthesis methods (SWiM)

Because the eligible evidence base consisted overwhelmingly of case reports and small case series with markedly heterogeneous exposure doses, gestational ages, and reporting formats, a quantitative meta-analysis was neither statistically appropriate nor methodologically defensible. Findings were therefore grouped by outcome domain (maternal survival, fetal/neonatal outcome, time-to-treatment, and obstetric management) and synthesised narratively using a vote-counting approach based on direction of effect, as recommended under SWiM guidance. Certainty in each synthesised finding was graded qualitatively (very low/low) reflecting the case-level nature of the evidence, consistent with GRADE considerations for observational and case-report evidence.

### Risk of bias / quality considerations

As the included evidence consisted predominantly of case reports and case series, formal risk-of-bias tools designed for comparative studies (e.g., ROBINS-I, Newcastle–Ottawa) were not directly applicable. Instead, the CARE (CAse REport) guideline domains — completeness of history, exposure quantification, and outcome reporting — were used qualitatively to assess reporting adequacy of each included case.

### Study characteristics

| Author, Year        | Country | Gestational Age | Route / Dose            | Maternal Outcome                                                | Fetal Outcome                                                |
|---------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lahlou et al., 2020 | Morocco | 3rd trimester   | Oral + intravaginal ALP | Survived after ICU care, mechanical ventilation, induced labour | Intrauterine fetal death (negative fetal cardiac activity on |

|                                                  |                               |                              |                                        |                                                                     |                                                                                            |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                  |                               |                              |                                        |                                                                     | admission)                                                                                 |
| Mouhaoui et al., 2008                            | Morocco                       | Not specified                | Oral ALP tablets                       | Maternal death despite resuscitation                                | Fetal death                                                                                |
| Henaidy et al. (case study)                      | Egypt                         | Not specified                | Oral ALP, dual-therapy protocol        | Survived with combined supportive/antioxidant therapy               | Outcome reported as favourable under collaborative obstetric–toxicology care               |
| Additional case reports/series (pooled, various) | India, Iran, Egypt, N. Africa | Variable (1st–3rd trimester) | Oral ALP tablets/powder, variable dose | Case-fatality high; MODS, cardiogenic shock, ARDS commonly reported | Predominantly poor — intrauterine death or preterm delivery with early neonatal compromise |

### Synthesis of findings

| Outcome domain                          | Direction of effect across studies                                                                                                                  | Certainty / heterogeneity                                                                  |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Maternal survival                       | Predominantly poor; survival reported only where decontamination and ICU support were instituted within a few hours of ingestion                    | Very low — evidence limited to case reports/series with strong survivorship reporting bias |
| Fetal / neonatal outcome                | Consistently unfavourable — intrauterine death, preterm delivery, or neonatal compromise reported in the majority of surviving mothers              | Very low — small numbers, heterogeneous gestational ages and exposure doses                |
| Time-to-treatment                       | Shorter interval between ingestion and decontamination/ICU admission associated with more favourable maternal outcome across nearly all reports     | Low — consistent direction, but not independently quantified in most reports               |
| Mode of delivery / obstetric management | No consistent protocol; induced labour, emergency caesarean, and expectant management all reported depending on maternal stability and fetal status | Very low — practice-based heterogeneity, no comparative studies                            |

Across the included reports, maternal survival appeared closely linked to the interval between ingestion and initiation of decontamination and critical care — patients reaching a tertiary-care intensive care unit within a few hours of ingestion were more likely to survive than those presenting late. Fetal outcome, by contrast, remained poor even in several surviving mothers, reflecting the combined effects of maternal hypoxia, hypotension, and the direct/indirect toxic effects of phosphine on placental and fetal tissue. No two reports followed an identical obstetric management pathway, underscoring the absence of a standardised protocol for this population.

## DISCUSSION

### Mechanism and pathophysiology

When aluminium phosphide meets moisture — whether atmospheric humidity or gastric secretions — it breaks down to release phosphine gas, and it is this breakdown product that drives the clinical picture. The leading hypothesis places the injury at the level of the mitochondrion: phosphine appears to stall complex IV (cytochrome-c oxidase) of the electron transport chain, choking off ATP production and flooding the cell with reactive oxygen species, which in turn sets off the multi-organ failure characteristic of severe poisoning. This has a particular bearing on pregnancy. The fetoplacental unit has no reserve of its own for oxygen — it depends entirely on maternal delivery and perfusion — so even before phosphine could act on fetal tissue directly, the mother's hypoxia, falling blood pressure, and myocardial depression are enough on their

own to tip the fetus into distress.

### Maternal and fetal outcome synthesis

The synthesised case-level evidence indicates that maternal outcome in ALP poisoning during pregnancy mirrors that of the general poisoned population — heavily dose- and time-dependent — while fetal outcome is disproportionately poor even relative to maternal survival. This pattern is consistent with the broader literature on other cardiotoxic and hypoxia-inducing poisonings in pregnancy (for example, carbon monoxide poisoning), where fetal mortality substantially exceeds maternal mortality due to the fetus's heightened vulnerability to maternal hypoxaemia and reduced physiological reserve.

### Management considerations and controversies

Supportive intensive care remains the mainstay of management, as no specific antidote exists for ALP/phosphine poisoning. Magnesium sulphate has historically been used for its purported membrane-stabilising and anti-peroxidant effect, though evidence for mortality benefit is mixed across dose-schedule comparisons in the general (non-pregnant) literature; its established additional role in obstetric practice (for example, in eclampsia prophylaxis) makes its use in pregnant ALP-poisoning patients a particularly interesting, if under-studied, area of potential dual benefit. N-acetylcysteine and other antioxidant adjuncts have shown mortality benefit in pooled analyses of the general poisoned population, though pregnancy-specific safety and efficacy data are lacking. Decisions regarding expedited delivery versus expectant management were highly individualised across the included reports, generally guided by maternal haemodynamic stability, gestational age, and evidence of fetal compromise on point-of-care ultrasound.

### Forensic and medicolegal perspective

Where death occurs, autopsy in suspected ALP poisoning classically reveals multi-organ congestion — most consistently of the respiratory system, followed by the kidneys and liver — often accompanied by a distinctive garlic-like or fishy odour arising from diphosphine and related impurities, froth at the mouth or nostrils, and gastric mucosal erosion or necrosis. Histopathological examination frequently shows congestion, focal myocardial necrosis, and gastric mucosal necrosis across multiple organ systems. In the pregnant decedent, additional attention to uterine and placental findings, estimation of gestational age, and documentation of fetal viability at the time of maternal death are essential for complete forensic reporting. Toxicological confirmation — using the silver nitrate test, headspace gas chromatography, or gas chromatography–mass spectrometry on gastric contents, blood, and viscera — remains the definitive diagnostic step, since odour and gross findings alone are supportive but not conclusive.

From a statutory standpoint under Indian law, the medicolegal classification of an ALP-poisoning death during pregnancy requires careful correlation of circumstantial evidence, dying declaration (where available), and autopsy/toxicology findings to distinguish suicide, accident, or (rarely) an offence involving the causing of death or miscarriage under the relevant provisions of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, which has replaced the erstwhile Indian Penal Code provisions on offences affecting the human body and offences against the unborn. Where a pregnant poisoning victim survives with severe fetal compromise, considerations under the Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act, 1971 (as amended) may also arise in the course of clinical decision-making, underscoring the interdisciplinary nature of such cases

spanning forensic medicine, obstetrics, and critical care.

### Strengths and limitations of this review

- This is among the first attempts to consolidate the scattered case-level literature on ALP poisoning specifically in pregnancy, using a transparent, pre-specified SWiM methodology.
- The evidence base is inherently limited to case reports and small series, precluding meta-analysis and introducing substantial publication and survivorship reporting bias — favourable or unusual outcomes are more likely to have been published.
- Heterogeneity in gestational age, dose, route of exposure, and reported outcomes limited the granularity of the synthesis.
- Restriction to English-language publications may have excluded relevant regional case reports, particularly from Hindi, Persian, and Arabic-language toxicology journals.

### CONCLUSION

Aluminium phosphide poisoning in pregnancy remains a rare but highly lethal obstetric–toxicological emergency, with maternal outcome closely tied to the speed of decontamination and critical-care intervention, and fetal outcome remaining poor even where the mother survives. In the absence of a specific antidote or a standardised obstetric management protocol, early multidisciplinary involvement of toxicology, critical care, and obstetric teams — together with meticulous forensic documentation in fatal cases — represents the most actionable current approach. Prospective, multicentric data from high-burden regions such as northern and central India are urgently needed to inform evidence-based protocols for this vulnerable population.

### REFERENCES

1. Lahlou A, Sidayne M, Benlamkaddem S, Berdaï MA, Harandou M. Survival after aluminum phosphide poisoning in pregnancy. *Case Rep Emerg Med.* 2020;2020:2785425. doi:10.1155/2020/2785425.
2. Mouhaoui M, Khaleq K, Rabbani O, Yaqini K, Louardi H. [Aluminium phosphide poisoning and pregnancy (case report)]. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim.* 2008;27(2):181-182. doi:10.1016/j.annfar.2007.11.021. PMID: 18182272.
3. Henaidy MF, Noah AA, El-Zahabi MA, Gemeah HM, Shouair AM, Mabrouk RR. Effective management of acute aluminum phosphide poisoning in pregnancy: a case study of dual therapy efficacy and collaborative care. *J Med Res Inst.* 2024;45(2):1-4. doi:10.21608/jmalexu.2024.281601.1017.
4. Badawoud MH, Abdel-Aziz G, El-Fark MM, Badawoud HM. The effect of aluminum exposure on maternal health and fetal growth in rats. *Cureus.* 2022;14(11):e31775. doi:10.7759/cureus.31775.
5. Singh S, Bhalla A, Verma SK, Kaur A, Gill K.

- Cytochrome-c oxidase inhibition in 26 aluminum phosphide poisoned patients. *Clin Toxicol (Phila)*. 2006;44(2):155-158. doi:10.1080/15563650500514467.
6. Nath NS, Bhattacharya I, Tuck AG, Schlipalius DI, Ebert PR. Mechanisms of phosphine toxicity. *J Toxicol.* 2011;2011:494168. doi:10.1155/2011/494168.
7. Yadav D, Bhattacharyya R, Banerjee D. Acute aluminum phosphide poisoning: the menace of phosphine exposure. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2021;520:34-42. doi:10.1016/j.cca.2021.05.026.
8. Chugh SN, Kumar P, Aggarwal HK, Sharma A, Mahajan SK, Malhotra KC. Efficacy of magnesium sulphate in aluminium phosphide poisoning — comparison of two different dose schedules. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 1994;42(5):373-375. PMID: 7829435.
9. Siwach SB, Yadav DR, Arora B, Dalal S, Jagdish. Acute aluminium phosphide poisoning — an epidemiological, clinical and histopathological study. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 1988;36(10):594-596. PMID: 3220807.
10. Chugh SN, Kolley T, Kakkar R, Chugh K, Sharma A. A critical evaluation of anti-peroxidant effect of intravenous magnesium in acute aluminium phosphide poisoning. *Magnes Res.* 1997;10(3):225-230.
11. Taghaddosinejad F, Farzaneh E, Ghazanfari-Nasrabad M, Eizadi-Mood N, Hajihosseini M, Mehrpour O. The effect of N-acetyl cysteine on aluminum phosphide poisoning inducing cardiovascular toxicity: a case-control study. *Springerplus.* 2016;5(1):1948-1952. doi:10.1186/s40064-016-3630-2.
12. Gurjar M, Baronia AK, Azim A, Sharma K. Managing aluminum phosphide poisonings. *J Emerg Trauma Shock.* 2011;4(3):378-384. doi:10.4103/0974-2700.83868.
13. Tristan CD, Nugroho NA, Wijayanto MA, Kynaya EM, Ilyas MF. Autopsy findings and histopathological changes in aluminum phosphide-related death: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Egypt J Forensic Sci.* 2025;15:28. doi:10.1186/s41935-025-00446-0.
14. Gunnell D, Eddleston M, Phillips MR, Konradsen F. The global distribution of fatal pesticide self-poisoning: systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2007;7:357. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-7-357.
15. Jain AKJ, Nigam M, Garg S, et al. Aluminium phosphide poisoning autopsy findings. *J Indian Acad Forensic Med.* 2005;27(3).
16. Mathai A, Bhanu MS. Acute aluminium phosphide poisoning: can we predict mortality? *Indian J Anaesth.* 2010;54(4):302-307. doi:10.4103/0019-5049.68372.
17. Kanani J, Sheikh MI, Jain S, Mesuriya S. Histopathological changes in the human tissues in various types of poisoning: a cross-sectional autopsy study. *Toxicol Rep.* 2024;13:101771. doi:10.1016/j.toxrep.2024.101771.
18. Bogle RG, Theron P, Brooks P, Dargan PI, Redhead J. Aluminium phosphide poisoning. *Emerg Med J.* 2006;23(1):e3. doi:10.1136/emj.2004.015941.
19. Kumar M, Vijayavarman V, Panday SK, Ahmad S, Das A, Kiran K. A study on pattern and outcome of aluminium phosphide (Celphos) poisoning in rural tertiary care hospital in Uttar Pradesh. *J Med Sci Clin Res.* 2019;7(6):1037-1042.
20. Musshoff F, Preuss J, Lignitz E, Madea B. A gas chromatographic analysis of phosphine in biological material in a case of suicide. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2008;177(1):35-38. doi:10.1016/j.forsciint.2007.12.007.